

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,084	07/17/2003	Carol Barrett	ZEEVP008	3298	
25920	7590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER		
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2832		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/623,084	BARRETT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statused in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statused in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statused in the period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ilcation. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II, by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 17 February 2005.				
· <u></u>)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-21 is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internations * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-7 in the reply filed on 2/17/2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, applicant should clarify what is intended by "a differential current flowing through the first in-silicon inductor and the second in-silicon flows in the same direction..."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nielson [US 6,867,677] in view of Yue [US 6,717,502].

Nielson disclose an on-chip inductive structure [figures 2-3] comprising:

- a silicon substrate [20];

- a first in-silicon inductor [4] having a plurality of turns; and

- a second in-silicon inductor [5] having a plurality of turns, a portion of the plurality of

turns of the second in-silicon inductor being formed between turns of the first in-silicon inductor.

wherein the first and second inductors being formed by first, second and third metal

layers [24, 23, 22].

Nielson discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific current direction

flow in the inductors.

Yue discloses a multi-layered transformer structure [figures 4b1-4c] comprising two

inductor coils [408, 410] formed on a silicon substrate having differential signal flow in the same

direction [figure 4b1, 4c].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the

invention was made to use differential signal design of Yue in Nielson for the purpose of

providing good magnetic coupling as well as symmetrical parasitic characteristics.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

- Carpentier [US 6,608,364]; Goff [US 6,476,704] and Rabjohn [US 4,816,784].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,084

Art Unit: 2832

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN TIN

Tuyen T. Nguyen